

— A single-page alignment of the Certified AI Strategist (CAIS) competence domains with the four governing frameworks of global AI practice —

CAIS DOMAIN <i>Competence area</i>	NIST AI RMF 1.0 <i>NIST AI 100-1 (2023) — Govern / Map / Measure / Manage</i>	EU AI ACT <i>Regulation (EU) 2024/1689</i>	ISO/IEC 42001:2023 <i>AI Management System — Clauses & Annex A</i>	OECD AI PRINCIPLES <i>Recommendation of the Council (2019, rev. 2024)</i>
01 AI Strategy & Governance	GOVERN 1.1-1.3 <i>Policies, procedures, and accountability structures</i> GOVERN 2.1 <i>Roles and responsibilities documented</i>	Art. 17 <i>Quality management system</i> Art. 26 <i>Obligations of deployers of high-risk AI</i>	Cl. 5 · Cl. 6 <i>Leadership, policy, planning, objectives</i> A.2 · A.3 <i>AI policies and internal organization</i>	Principle 1.1 <i>Inclusive growth, sustainable development, well-being</i> Rec. 2.1 <i>Investing in AI R&D</i>
02 System Design & Architecture	MAP 1.1-1.6 <i>Context, purpose, and system categorization</i> MAP 3.1-3.5 <i>AI capabilities, targeted usage, risks identified</i>	Art. 11 · Annex IV <i>Technical documentation</i> Art. 16 · Art. 25 <i>Obligations of providers and distributors</i>	Cl. 8.2 <i>Operational planning and control</i> A.6 <i>AI system life-cycle management</i>	Principle 1.4 <i>Robustness, security, and safety-by-design</i>
03 Risk Management & Impact Assessment	MAP 5 · MEASURE 1-4 <i>Impacts to individuals, groups, society characterized</i> MANAGE 1-3 <i>Risks prioritized, treated, and resourced</i>	Art. 9 <i>Risk management system for high-risk AI</i> Art. 27 <i>Fundamental rights impact assessment (FRISA)</i>	Cl. 6.1 <i>Actions to address risks and opportunities</i> A.5 · ISO/IEC 23894 <i>AI risk assessment and treatment</i>	Principle 1.4 <i>Proportionate, risk-based management across life cycle</i>
04 Data & Model Integrity	MAP 2.3 <i>Training data documented and justified</i> MEASURE 2.3 · 2.10 <i>Data quality, provenance, and model validity</i>	Art. 10 <i>Data and data governance for high-risk AI</i> Art. 53(1)(c) <i>GPAI training-data summary obligation</i>	A.7 <i>Data for AI systems — quality, lineage, governance</i>	Principle 1.4 <i>Validity, reliability, data integrity</i>
05 Bias, Fairness & Non-Discrimination	MEASURE 2.11 <i>Fairness and bias evaluated and documented</i> MANAGE 2.3 <i>Disparate impacts mitigated over time</i>	Art. 10(2)(f) · 10(5) <i>Examination for biases; special-category data for mitigation</i> Art. 5 <i>Prohibited discriminatory practices</i>	A.5.4 · A.7.4 <i>Impact assessment and data-quality controls</i>	Principle 1.2 <i>Human-centered values, fairness, non-discrimination</i>
06 Transparency & Explainability	MEASURE 2.8 · 2.9 <i>Explainability and interpretability characterized</i>	Art. 13 <i>Transparency to deployers of high-risk AI</i> Art. 50 <i>Transparency obligations to natural persons</i>	A.8 · A.8.2 <i>Information and system documentation for users</i> A.6.2.5 <i>Documentation of design and development</i>	Principle 1.3 <i>Transparency and responsible disclosure</i>
07 Security, Robustness & Resilience	MEASURE 2.6 · 2.7 <i>Safety, security, resilience evaluated</i> MANAGE 4.1 <i>Incident response and recovery resourced</i>	Art. 15 <i>Accuracy, robustness, cybersecurity requirements</i>	A.6.2.6 <i>Verification & validation controls</i> Ref. ISO/IEC 27001 <i>Information security management integration</i>	Principle 1.4 <i>Robustness, security, and safety throughout life cycle</i>
08 Human Oversight & Accountability	GOVERN 2 · 3 <i>Accountability structures and human roles defined</i> MANAGE 2.4 <i>Responses to risk allocated to responsible parties</i>	Art. 14 <i>Human oversight of high-risk AI</i> Art. 26 <i>Deployer obligations and oversight</i>	Cl. 5.3 <i>Organizational roles, responsibilities, authorities</i> A.9.2 <i>Responsible use of AI systems</i>	Principle 1.5 <i>Accountability of AI actors</i>
09 Monitoring & Post-Deployment	MEASURE 3.3 <i>Ongoing monitoring of AI system performance</i> MANAGE 4.1-4.3 <i>Post-deployment review, response, and improvement</i>	Art. 72 <i>Post-market monitoring by providers</i> Art. 73 <i>Reporting of serious incidents</i>	Cl. 9 <i>Performance evaluation, audit, management review</i> A.10 <i>Third-party and customer relationships</i>	Principle 1.5 <i>Accountability, traceability, continuous monitoring</i>
10 Ethics, Conduct & Professional Responsibility	GOVERN 3 <i>Diverse, inclusive teams and workforce competence</i> GOVERN 4 <i>Organizational culture of critical thinking</i>	Art. 4 <i>AI literacy obligations for providers and deployers</i> Rec. 27 <i>Ethical principles: autonomy, fairness, explicability</i>	Cl. 7.2-7.3 <i>Competence and awareness of personnel</i> A.2.3 <i>Alignment of AI policy with organizational ethics</i>	Principle 1.2 <i>Human-centered values, dignity, and rights</i>

FRAMEWORKS ■ NIST AI RMF 1.0 ■ EU AI Act · Reg. 2024/1689 ■ ISO/IEC 42001:2023 ■ OECD AI Principles (2024)

Primary mappings shown. CAIS additionally cross-references ISO/IEC 22989, 23894, 23053 · UNESCO (2021) · UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights (2011).

ACCREDITATION-ALIGNED
 ISO/IEC 17024 · CL. 8 — SCHEME

GAISB · Global AI Standards Body
 Professional Standards Library · Volume III
 © 2026 · Pro Bono Publico · All rights reserved
One profession. Four frameworks. One standard.